

This article was downloaded by:

On: 14 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Molecular Simulation

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713644482>

The Effect of the Combined Volume on the Efficiency of Gibbs Ensemble Simulations

Mihaly Mezei^a

^a Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

To cite this Article Mezei, Mihaly(1993) 'The Effect of the Combined Volume on the Efficiency of Gibbs Ensemble Simulations', *Molecular Simulation*, 11: 6, 395 — 397

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/08927029308022522

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927029308022522>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Note

THE EFFECT OF THE COMBINED VOLUME ON THE EFFICIENCY OF GIBBS ENSEMBLE SIMULATIONS

MIHALY MEZEI

*Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Mount Sinai School of Medicine,
CUNY, New York, NY 10029, USA*

(Received April 1993, accepted April 1993)

KEY WORDS: Gibbs ensemble, benzene, OPLS, cavity bias

INTRODUCTION

Simulation in the Gibbs ensemble, introduced [1,2] and recently reviewed [3] by Panagiotopoulos, combines simulation techniques in the canonical, isobaric and grand-canonical ensembles (all at constant temperature). It has been immediately recognized that the method will face similar limitations at liquid densities as the grand-canonical ensemble simulations, the difficulty of inserting a new molecule into the liquid phase [4]. Accordingly, the cavity biased insertion, originally developed in the grand-canonical ensemble [5,6] was shown to improve the simulation efficiency [7] and improvements in the insertion success rate were also obtained with an orientational biasing technique [8].

THEORY

This note concerns itself with an other difficulty that is due to the very low density of the vapor phase. The problem here is that putting even one molecule into the vapor phase increases the density well above the thermodynamic average and as a result it is very unlikely to see there two or more. However, if the combined volume is chosen high enough so that the thermodynamic average of the vapor density requires more than one molecule in the vapor phase, the density fluctuations can be expected to improve markedly.

CALCULATIONS

This idea was tested on liquid benzene, using the OPLS [9] united atom representation for the =CH – group. The simulations were performed on 300 molecules,

Table 1 Simulation results with different volumes at 300 K. The runlength is in million attempted displacements, CB and R indicate cavity-biased and random insertions, respectively, V_{cmb} is the combined volume in \AA^3 , ρ_l and ρ_v are the liquid and vapor densities in g/ml, P_{exc} is the probability of acceptance of a molecule exchange attempt, the numbers under Δ_{molec} are the range of fluctuations in the number of molecules cumulatively and for the last 1 million step stretch.

Runlength	V_{cmb}	ρ_l	ρ_v	$\langle N_v \rangle$	P_{exc}	Δ_{molec}
1 CB	42875	0.8590 ± 0.0036	0.00197 ± 0.00117	0.62	0.00012	3 3
2 CB	42875	0.8567 ± 0.0032	0.00128 ± 0.00068	0.40	0.00013	3 2
3 CB	42875	0.8584 ± 0.0026	0.00128 ± 0.00049	0.40	0.00012	3 3
4 CB	42875	0.8582 ± 0.0020	0.00108 ± 0.00037	0.34	0.00013	3 2
1 CB	250000	0.8622 ± 0.0026	0.00076 ± 0.00019	1.20	0.00029	5 5
2 CB	250000	0.8571 ± 0.0039	0.00056 ± 0.00017	0.88	0.00036	5 3
3 CB	250000	0.8558 ± 0.0035	0.00049 ± 0.00014	0.78	0.00039	5 4
4 CB	250000	0.8569 ± 0.0029	0.00050 ± 0.00012	0.78	0.00039	5 4
1 CB	823750	0.8522 ± 0.0037	0.00029 ± 0.00009	1.78	0.00083	6 6
2 CB	823750	0.8544 ± 0.0040	0.00039 ± 0.00009	2.39	0.00069	7 7
3 CB	823750	0.8554 ± 0.0037	0.00042 ± 0.00009	2.57	0.00067	7 7
4 CB	823750	0.8558 ± 0.0038	0.00051 ± 0.00010	3.11	0.00059	9 9
1 R	42875	0.8577 ± 0.0027	0.00000 ± 0.00000	0.0	0.0	0
1 R	250000	0.8566 ± 0.0037	0.00052 ± 0.00019	0.83	.000003	2
1 R	823750	0.8584 ± 0.0044	0.00011 ± 0.00007	0.67	.000003	2

using cavity-biased insertions [7] with the cavity radius fluctuating around 4.2\AA . Interaction energies between molecules where the center-to-center distance was larger than 12\AA were set to zero. Molecule exchanges were attempted after every pair of displacement attempts and volume exchanges were attempted after every 100 displacement attempt pairs. Initial calculations used a combined volume of 42857\AA^3 – this choice results in a vapor phase volume commensurable with that of the liquid phase. These calculations also gave an approximate value for the vapor density that was used to derive a new combined volume 250000\AA^3 , that provides a large enough vapor phase that the average number of molecules there is more than one and an even larger volume, corresponding to about two molecules in the vapor phase, 823750\AA^3 . These volumes correspond to two cubes of edges 35\AA , 50\AA and 75\AA , respectively.

In addition, calculations were also performed without using the cavity-biased insertion technique, in order to assess its relative importance in relation to the technique suggested in this note.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of calculations at 300 K temperature (near the benzene's melting point, 280 K [20]) with these three different combined volumes are shown in Table 1. The calculated liquid density compares well with the experimental value, 0.8789 g/ml [20], especially considering the relatively short cutoff used. The benefit from the increased combined volume can be seen in the increased fluctuation in the number of molecules, in the increased acceptance probabilities of the exchange step and last, but not least in the clearly superior convergence of the vapor density, without

any apparent loss of precision in the liquid side. Thus these results suggest that the combined volume for a Gibbs ensemble simulation should be large enough to contain at least two molecules, *on the average*.

Calculations without cavity biasing, also summarized in Table 1, show that improvement in the exchange acceptance rates produced by increasing the combined volume and by cavity biasing is additive if not synergistic, supporting the initial reasoning that there are two different effects that reduce the exchange rate below acceptable levels at lower temperatures. While cavity biasing appears to produce more improvement than the volume increase, the computational overhead is about 20% for cavity biasing and none for the increase in the combined volume.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by NIH grant #R55-GM43500. Computing resources were provided in part by the City University of New York, University Computing Center.

References

- [1] A.Z. Panagiotopoulos, "Direct determination of phase coexistence properties of fluids by Monte Carlo simulation in a new ensemble," *Molec. Phys.*, **61**, 813 (1987).
- [2] A.Z. Panagiotopoulos, N. Quirke, M. Stapleton and D.J. Tildesley, "Phase equilibria by simulation in the Gibbs ensemble: alternative derivation, generalization and application to mixture and membrane equilibria," *Molec. Phys.*, **63**, 527 (1988).
- [3] A.Z. Panagiotopoulos, "Direct determination of fluid phase equilibria by simulation in the Gibbs ensemble: a review," *Molecular Simulation*, **9**, 1 (1992).
- [4] D.J. Adams, "Grand canonical ensemble Monte Carlo for a Lennard-Jones fluid," *Molec. Phys.*, **29**, 307 (1975).
- [5] M. Mezei, "A cavity-biased (T, V, μ) Monte Carlo method for the computer simulation of fluids," *Molec. Phys.*, **40**, 901 (1980).
- [6] M. Mezei, "Grand-canonical ensemble Monte Carlo study of dense liquids: Lennard-Jones, soft spheres and water," *Molec. Phys.*, **61**, 565 (1987); erratum: **67**, 1207 (1989).
- [7] M. Mezei, "Theoretical calculation of the liquid-vapor coexistence curve of water, chloroform and methanol with the cavity-biased Monte Carlo method in the Gibbs ensemble," *Molecular Simulations*, **9**, 257 (1992).
- [8] R.F. Cracknell, D. Nicholson and N.G. Parsonage, "Rotational inserton bias: a novel method for calculating phase equilibria," *Molec. Phys.*, **71**, 931 (1990).
- [9] W.L. Jorgensen and J. Tirado-Rives, "The OPLS potential functions for proteins. Energy minimizations for Crystals of Cyclyc peptides and crambin," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **110**, 1657 (1988).
- [10] "The critical constants and orthobaric densities of acetone, chloroform, benzene and carbon tetrachloride," *Can. J. Chem.*, **47**, 3893 (1969).